## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ALEXANDRE PELLETIER, Individually and )	Civ. Action No. 2:17-cv-05114-MMB
on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, )	
Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
)	Honorable Michael M. Baylson
VS.	ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF
ENDO INTERNATIONAL PLC, et al.,	ALLOCATION OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS
Defendants.	TROCEEDS

Case 2:17-cv-05114-MMB Document 408 Filed 02/23/22 Page 2 of 2

This matter having come before the Court on February 23, 2022, on Lead Plaintiff's and Co-

Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the Plan of Allocation of the settlement proceeds in the

above-captioned action; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and

otherwise being fully informed in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the meanings as set forth

in the Stipulation of Settlement dated October 15, 2021 (the "Stipulation"), and filed with the Court.

2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all

persons who are Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort, advising them of the

Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to

all persons and entities who are Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

3. The Court finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of

Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class

Action (the "Notice") sent to Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to

allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among the Class

Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

4. This Court finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in the Notice,

is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court approves the Plan of Allocation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/23/2022 /s/ MICHAEL M. BAYLSON

THE HONORABLE MICHAEL M. BAYLSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE